

VARIABLE OCTANE DUEL FUEL DELIVERY SYSTEM

Field of the Invention

A method of creation and use of a variable octane gasoline system as applied to gasoline-powered motor vehicles.

Description of the related art

Various systems for breaking gasoline down into two different octanes, sometimes stored in separate tanks thereafter, have been previously described (see, for example, U.S. Patents No. 6,308,682, No. 5,524,582, No. 6,119,637, No. 6,311,649, No. 5,927,255, No. 6,332,448). All of these references focus on the process of breaking the gasoline into high and low octane components. That is, most of these systems use heat to boil and then condense the gasoline – in effect making the vehicle a moving gasoline refinery.

The problems associated with such systems are at the very least – complexity of the system to be implemented in a vehicle and danger of on-board distilling

Summary of the inventions

The present invention overcomes the above-noted drawbacks of the conventional system designs by providing a system which benefits from the efficiency of using variable octane gasoline to power a vehicle, while avoiding the complexities associated with on-board fuel heating and boiling, and the safety concerns associated with on-board distilling.

The proposed system would draw two different octane gasoline fuels, each stored in its own separate vehicle-mounted tank, and mix these high and low octane fuels in, for example, the fuel injection system in proportion as necessary to meet the anti-knock requirement according to instantaneous engine load; the percentage of each octane being controlled by the pressure in the intake manifold and other factors.

Brief description of the drawings

Figure 1 – is block diagram showing an illustrative example of components of a fuel system according to the present invention .

Figure 2 – is an illustrative example of a fuel tank according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 3 – is an illustrative example of a fuel tank configuration according to another embodiment of the invention.

Figure 4 – is an illustrative example of fill pipe configuration for a fuel tank configuration according to another embodiment of the invention.

Description of the preferred embodiments.

A gasoline fueled, internal combustion engine can only generate pressure in its combustion chamber on the firing stroke in relation to the absolute pressure (below the throttle butterfly) existing in its intake manifold at any given moment.

If the induction path is unrestricted, as is the case when the throttle butterfly is fully open, i.e., parallel to the airflow, only then will the maximum combustion chamber pressure be realized as per designed compression ratio.

All things being equal, as an illustration at fully open throttle, an engine with a compression ratio of 10:1 can be expected to develop a chamber pressure of 10 atmospheres, or 147 psi under maximum standard conditions.

At the other extreme, again for illustration, if the engine is idling with the throttle butterfly at right angles to the airflow, only minimum air can enter the manifold and the absolute pressure in that manifold downstream will be as low as only a few psi positive. And with the same 10:1 designed compression ratio, the pressure in the combustion chamber will be correspondingly reduced by as much as 2/3rds or more.

Consequently, in an idling engine with a 10:1 compression ratio, the pressure in the chamber at the top of the compression stroke will be only roughly 1/3rd of one atmosphere (5 psi) or less, times ten - or 50 psi or lower.

At this minimum chamber pressure there can be no justification nor need for premium (93/94 M+R/2) octane gasoline whatever, and its use is obviously gross overkill and wasteful of money and resources.

Current engines may be specified to use only high octane gasoline (93/94 M+R/2 octane) at all times merely to prevent detonation under full throttle applications. There is no requirement for such premium octane gasoline under less than full throttle operation.

All operation of the engine can only vary within these two limits, full throttle and idling conditions. So the question then becomes how much of actual driving time on the road is under what throttle position and at what intake manifold pressures?

Real-world measurements show that under level, steady state interstate highway conditions, there will be only some 7-10 psi positive pressure in the intake manifold during some 85% or 90% of the time on the road.

Which means that 85 - 90% of normal driving conditions will be done with only some 50 - 100 psi in the combustion chamber with no justification for use of 91/94 M+R/2 octane high test, premium gasoline under these operating conditions. A lower octane number gasoline is deemed far more appropriate under such operating conditions.

According to preferred embodiments of the present invention, as shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3, an on-board system would require a dual fuel tank arrangement on a vehicle, which arrangement can include either a single tank with a dividing diaphragm (Figure 2), or two separate tanks (Figures 1 and 3).

In a particularly advantageous embodiment of the invention, the tank (or compartment) which contains higher octane fuel is configured to have a smaller volume than the other tank (or compartment), as diagrammatically shown in Fig. 2 (see volumes V1 and V2). Also, one of the tanks (or one of the fuel compartments in the case of a single tank with a dividing diaphragm) may be advantageously equipped with a unique fill pipe configuration, as shown (cross-section view of configurations of the fill pipes 1 and 2) in Tank 2 of Figure 3. For example, fill pipes 1 and 2 may differ in cross-sectional shape or size at least at a portion which receives fuel from an external source, e.g., from a conventional gasoline pump. As shown on Figure 4, the fill pipes may be identical, thus making manufacturing thereof more

economical, but have unique input portions 1 and 2, which maybe metal, molded plastic, or other appropriate material, integrally formed thereon, or removably attached thereto.

Other appropriate hardware and software items in a form of, for example, an electronic circuit (graphically illustrated as "Controller" in Figure 1) and a "Mixer", to work in conjunction with a vehicle's fuel management system to provide the optimum octane mix as engine power demands. While Figure 1 shows the mixer arranged integrally, or in close proximity to the controller, other arrangements and configurations of a mixer which may be responsive to a controller are possible without departing from the scope of the invention, so long as the mixer is arranged to receive input from both tanks (or compartments in the case of a single tank with a dividing diaphragm) and to provide appropriate octane mix at its output.

It is anticipated the larger tank, containing the low cost, low octane fuel would carry 85%-90% of the total fuel on board, with only 10%-15% of the highest, costly, premium grade being carried in the second tank.

The system is easily adaptable for use with existing gas pumps now at highway service stations during an interim period when both new-design and older vehicles can be expected to share the service stations for perhaps a 20 year transition period, after which the final new octane fuels can be made available as required by the dual octane vehicles in accordance with the present invention.

At present no known, or extremely few if any, automobile manufacturers call for use of 89 octane gasoline. Hence, the 89 octane gas pumps can be considered superfluous. Consequently, immediately and during the interim period, these existing 89 octane pumps can be converted to supply a new, economical low grade base octane fuel of, say 50 octane or so, requiring only a nozzle modification

to a unique configuration fitting into only the new corresponding fill inlet on the new dual tank vehicles; into the larger of the two tanks.

Older automobiles on the road can continue to be fueled from the other two existing regular (87 octane) and premium (93/94 octane) pumps. The modified nozzles of the old 89 octane pumps will not fit into the older generation tank inlets.

At the end of the transition period when the majority of the vehicles on the roads are essentially all new dual-tank design, the existing 87 octane pumps may be relegated to a few stations still servicing the remaining older and collector vehicles and farm machinery/industrial engines designed for 87 octane fuel.

The original 89 octane pumps, as converted to supply low 50 octane gasoline, can continue in service beyond the transition period without change.

The present premium 93/94 octane pumps can be converted to supply a new even higher octane fuel - perhaps 100 M+R/2 octane or higher - allowing for even more efficient, future high power engine designs. Such fuel use will be in far smaller quantities than of today's 93/94 high octane fuel, as it will be required only under full or heavy throttle conditions, as described above.

Finally, should either tank on the vehicle run dry of fuel, the system will draw from whichever tank has fuel remaining. Should a high-performance engine of necessity be run on the low octane base gasoline the existing knock sensor system will automatically engage to eliminate any engine detonation due to the lower octane fuel until a gas station can be reached.

Conversely, should the vehicle run out of the low octane, base grade fuel, the engine will run quite satisfactorily on the high octane fuel in the second tank until a gas station can be reached.

The variable octane, on-board, system according to the present invention is also environmentally friendly in that current unnecessary large requirement for quantity production of expensive high test premium gasolines can be very greatly reduced.

Conversely, a larger proportion of the low octane, base grade gasoline would be required with less drain on natural resources.

While various implementations of a vehicle-mounted system for supplying variable octain fuel in a accordance with the present invention have been described in detail, a skilled artisan will readily appreciate that numerous other implementations and variations of the design are possible without departing from the spirit of the invention. Accordingly, the scope of the invention is defined by the claims set forth below.